

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | PNET.009D | 3802 |
| 09/768,271 | 01/25/2001 | Tsukasa Yajima | PNET.007D | |
| JONES & VOLENTINE, L.L.P. Suite 150 12200 Sunrise Valley Drive Reston, VA 20191 | | | EXAMINER MAI, ANH D | |
| | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 2814 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No | o. Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|------------|
| | 09/768,271 | YAJIMA, TSUKASA | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anh D. Mai | 2814 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cov | ver sheet with the correspondence address | |
| Desired for Penly | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | ON FR 1.136(a). In no event, horon. a reply within the statutory noeriod will apply and will expirature, cause the application mailing date of this communication. | nowever, may a reply be timely filed minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ion to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). unication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 1 <u>31 October 2002</u> . | | |
| - 157 This action is EINAL 2b) | This action is non | n-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up Disposition of Claims | inder Ex parte dudy | or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | - |
| 4) Claim(s) 6-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in | the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit | thdrawn from consic | ideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requ | juirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| The annuisaction is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | d or him shipsted to by the Everyines | |
| to \$7 The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2001 i | is/are: a) ☐ accepted | ed or DIM objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | on to the drawing(S) De | be held in abeyance. See 37 Cirk 1135(-) | ner. |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | n <u>31 October 2002</u> is | is: a)∐ approved b)⊠ disapproved by the Exami | * |
| If approved, corrected drawings are require | ed in reply to this Office | ce action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by | tne Examiner. | | |
| Pulsarity under 35 H S C 88 119 and 120 | | lor 25 11 0 0 0 440/-1 /-1 /0 | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority und | ger 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 4 Contified copies of the priority doc | cuments have been | received. | |
| a Continue copies of the priority doc | cuments have been | n received in Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation | the priority document ional Bureau (PCT R for a list of the certific | ents have been received in this National Stage Rule 17.2(a)). fied copies not received. | |
| See the attached detailed Office action f | domestic priority und | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica | ntion). |
| | uage provisional app | oblication has been received. | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | uage provisional app | oblication has been received. | |
| Attachment(s) | | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | <u>.</u> . |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | U-3 - U) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | |

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Amendment filed October 31, 2002 has been entered as Paper No. 13. Claims 6, 11 and 16 have been amended. Claims 6-9 and 11-19 are pending.

From Previous Office Action

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "insulating layer formed on the substrate, first and second gate, side walls, field oxide and protective layer; contact hole formed through the insulating layer and connecting wire coupled to the gate through the contact hole" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered, as previously applied.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed October 31, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "the contact hole 52 and wiring 54 connect to the gate".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

4. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on October 31, 2002 have been **disapproved** because they introduce new matter into the

Art Unit: 2814

drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of "the contact holes 52 and the connecting wire 54 are directly on the gate".

As best understood by the examiner, the semiconductor device comprises: an insulating layer, contact hole and wiring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 6-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yoo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,605,853) (cited previously).

With respect to claim 6, as best understood by the examiner, Yoo teaches a semiconductor device as claimed including:

first and second gates (16) formed on an active region of a substrate (10), the first and second gates consisting of a refractory metal layer (28) on a polysilicon layer (16);

a field oxide (12) formed on the substrate (12) between the first and second gate (16); side walls (20) formed on side surfaces of the gates (16), the side walls being a silicon oxide film;

a protective layer (21) formed on the field oxide (12), the protective layer being a material different than the field oxide;

an insulating layer (38) formed on the substrate (10), the gates (16), the side walls (20), the field oxide (12) and the protective layer (21);

Art Unit: 2814

a contact hole formed through the insulating layer (38); and

a connecting wire (40) coupled to the gates (16) through the contact hole. (See Fig. 7, col. 3-5).

Product by process limitation:

The expression "to prevent overetching of said field oxide" (claims 6, 11 and 16) is taken to be a product by process limitation and is given no patentable weight. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. The same reason also applied to claims 11 and 16.

Note that, since the protective layer (21) of Yoo is formed on the field oxide (12), thus, the protective layer (21) is capable of preventing overetching of the field oxide as well.

With respect to claim 8, the protective layer (21) of Yoo is formed on the field oxide (21) only.

With respect to claim 11, as best understood by the examiner, Yoo teaches a semiconductor device as claimed including:

Art Unit: 2814

a gate (16) formed on an active region of a substrate (10);

a field oxide (12) formed on the substrate adjacent the active region;

a protective layer (21) formed on the field oxide (12), the protective layer being a material different than the field oxide;

an insulating layer (38) formed on the substrate (10) including the gate (16), the field oxide (12) and the protective layer (21);

a contact hole formed through the insulating layer (38); and

a connecting wire (40) coupled to the gate (16) through the contact hole, the protective layer (21) being formed on the field oxide (12) only. (See Fig. 7, col. 3-5).

With respect to claim 14, the gate (16) of Yoo further comprises side walls (20) formed on side surface of the gate (16), the side walls being covered by the insulating layer (38).

With respect to claim 16, as best understood by the examiner, Yoo teaches a semiconductor device as claimed including:

a gate (16) formed on an active region of a substrate (10), the gate (16) consisting of a refractory metal layer (28) on a polysilicon layer (16);

side walls (20) formed on side surfaces of the gates (16), the side walls being a silicon oxide film;

a field oxide (12) formed on the substrate (12) adjacent the active region;

a protective layer (21) formed on the field oxide (12), the protective layer being a material different than the field oxide;

Art Unit: 2814

an insulating layer (38) formed on the substrate (10), the gates (16), the side walls (20), the field oxide (12) and the protective layer (21);

a contact hole formed through the insulating layer (38); and

a connecting wire (40) coupled to the gate (16) through the contact hole, the protective layer (21) being formed on the field oxide (12) only (See Fig. 7, col. 3-5).

With respect to claims 7, 12, 17, the protective layer (21) is a polysilicon layer.

With respect to claims 9, 13, 18, the gate (16) is a MOSFET gate.

With respect to claims 15, 19, the semiconductor device of Yoo further comprising an additional gate (16) formed on the substrate (10), the field oxide (12) being formed on the substrate between the gate (16) and the additional gate (16).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 31, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on October 31, 2002 have been **disapproved**, as discussed above.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Applicant concludes that layer 21 is not specifically described as formed on FOX layer [12].

Art Unit: 2814

However, viewing Fig. 2, one having ordinary skill in the art should concluded, without any reasonable doubt, that layer 21 is formed on FOX layer 12. Since layer 21 of Yoo is formed on the filed oxide 12, layer 21 clearly capable of preventing the field oxide 12 from overetching. Furthermore, the expression "to prevent overetching of said field oxide", as discussed above, is taken to be a product-by-process limitation and is given no patentable weight.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

A.M January 3, 2003

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CONTENESSES